# Guidance for IEP Teams on Determining Participation in the SC-Alt



South Carolina Department of Education Office of Assessment and Standards Office of Special Education Services

**Updated July 2023** 

Acknowledgements	

The South Carolina Department of Education (SCDE) extends grateful appreciation to the South Carolina Alternate Assessment Advisory Committee for its contributions to this document.

#### Guidance for IEP Teams on Determining Participation in South Carolina Alternate Assessments on Alternate Academic Achievement Standards

#### Introduction

This document is provided to assist individualized education program (IEP) teams in making decisions regarding whether Alternate Assessment on Alternate Achievement Standards (AA-AAS) is appropriate for an individual student.

The IEP team, which includes parents as equal partners, is responsible for determining if a student with a disability should participate in the general assessment with accommodations or in the alternate assessment. To document that an alternate assessment is appropriate for an individual student, the IEP team should review all important information about the student over multiple school years and multiple instructional settings (e.g., school, home, community) and determine that the student has a significant cognitive disability and meets all of the participation criteria for alternate assessment.

The state alternate assessments on alternate achievement standards are designed for students with significant cognitive disabilities who participate in a school curriculum that includes functional and life skills as well as academic instruction. The content, learning objectives, and expected outcomes for the academic instruction are extended to focus on the emerging, readiness

(prerequisite), foundational and/or functional (real life) application of the general curriculum.

#### **Eligibility Criteria**

To participate in an Alternate Assessment on Alternate Academic Achievement Standards (AA-AAS) a student must meet **all** the following criteria:

- demonstrate a significant cognitive disability **and** adaptive skill deficits, which result in performance that is substantially below grade-level achievement expectations even with the use of accommodations and modifications;
- access the state approved curriculum standards at less complex levels and with extensively modified instruction;
- possess current adaptive skills requiring extensive direct instruction and practice in multiple settings to accomplish the application and transfer of skills necessary for application in school, work, home, and community environments;
- be unable to apply or use academic skills across natural settings when instructed solely or primarily through classroom instruction; and
- the inability to achieve the state grade-level achievement expectations is not the result of excessive or extended absences or social, cultural, or economic differences.

The *Grade Reference Sheet* is available on the <u>SC-Alt Portal</u> to assist with test assignment for each assessment in the SC-Alt.

#### **Eligibility Descriptions**

Significant cognitive disability is characterized by ability scores on both verbal and nonverbal scales that are at least 2½–3 standard deviations below the mean. Students with ability scores in the average range are NOT considered to be students with significant cognitive disabilities.

Adaptive skills relate to independence in everyday living skills, including interpersonal and social interactions across multiple settings. To be eligible to participate in an alternate assessment, students should demonstrate deficits in adaptive behavior skills with scores that are at least 2½–3 standard deviations below the mean in at least two adaptive skill domains.

A student with a significant cognitive disability requires substantial modifications, adaptations, or supports to meaningfully access the subject area content and requires intensive individualized instruction in order to acquire and generalize knowledge. The student's instruction should be based on the *Prioritized Standards*, which provide access to the general education curriculum at emerging, readiness (prerequisite), foundational, and less complex skill levels. Students with abilities below grade level should not be considered for alternate assessment if their ability and adaptive scores are in the average range, abilities that are below grade level do not mean a student should take an alternate assessment.

Students who meet the eligibility criteria for alternate assessment may be classified in any of the disability categories listed in the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), as long as there is documentation that the student has a significant cognitive disability or severe intellectual disability and significant adaptive skills deficits.

Some disability categories, as defined by the *State Board of Education Criteria for Entry into Programs of Special Education for Students with Disabilities* (43–243), may not meet the necessary criteria for participation in an alternate assessment. For example, a student who is evaluated and determined to qualify for special education services as a child with a Specific Learning Disability or Emotional Disability would not exhibit an intellectual disability according to the State Board of Education criteria. Also, while some students determined eligible under the categories of Other Health Impaired (OHI), Orthopedic Impairment (OI), and Autism may have concomitant cognitive impairment, often times they do not. Such students would not meet the necessary criteria for participation in an alternate assessment.

### Information the IEP Team can use to determine if alternate assessment is appropriate for an individual student

The IEP team should review and discuss multiple sources of information. Some sources that the team may consider reviewing include: psychological evaluation reports, results of individual cognitive ability tests, adaptive behavior skills data, results of individual or group administered achievement assessments, district-wide alternate assessments, individual reading assessments, findings of communication or language proficiency assessments, teacher collected data from classroom observations, progress monitoring data, and IEPs.

#### The team should consider:

- IEP information including
  - o present levels of academic achievement and functional performance (PLAAFP), goals, and short-term objectives. Short-term objectives are required for IEPs of students who participate in alternate assessment (Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, 2004 (IDEA) Section 614(d)(1)(A)(i)(I)(cc)).
  - o information from both the student interests and preferences in section I and the PLAAFP in section II of the IEP related to post school outcomes for students of transition age in South Carolina. Many students who are eligible for alternate assessment will have post school outcomes that include community supports.
  - o special considerations related to communication or English language learners.
- description of the student's curriculum and typical instruction and progress data. The student's curriculum should include academic instruction at emerging, readiness (prerequisite), foundational, and less complex skill levels based on the extended academic standards in ELA, mathematics, science, and social studies.
- teacher collected data and work samples from classroom and community-based instruction, if applicable. Although there should be evidence that a student who participates in an alternate assessment receives extensive instruction in functional curriculum and daily living skills, only academic instruction or English language proficiency is assessed with alternate assessment.
- descriptions of achievement levels for the alternate assessments. The team should
  determine if the alternate descriptions more appropriately capture the student's
  performance than do the descriptors for the general assessments. These can be found on
  the SCDE web site.
- examples of alternate assessment tasks to determine if they are more like the student's routine instruction and assessment than are the general assessment sample items.
   Examples of sample tasks can be found on the SCDE web site.

- results of district-wide alternate assessments. IDEA requires that students who are unable to participate in district-wide assessments even with appropriate accommodations receive an alternate assessment to the district assessment.
- results of individual reading assessments.
- achievement score data from the general assessment, if the student previously
  participated in the general assessment. Many students with and without disabilities score
  "Not Met" on general assessments and are reading below their assigned grade level.
  Below grade-level reading scores or poor performance on previous assessments alone
  does not indicate that the alternate assessment is the appropriate assessment for a
  student.
- information on communication modes from multiple data sources (e.g., classroom, homework, observations of expressive, receptive, written, and pragmatic language) along with standardized scores from norm-referenced tests. Review descriptions of adaptations or modifications that have been used to assist the student with communication.
- results of English language proficiency assessments if the student is also classified as an English language learner (ELL).

Worksheets and checklists designed to assist teams in collecting and reviewing evidence to determine if participation in alternate assessment is appropriate for an individual student may be found in the appendices. Appendix A, Initial Determination of Eligibility for Alternate Assessment Participation Worksheet, is an **optional** worksheet for use by the IEP team to document existing data from various sources to facilitate the initial determination of alternate assessment participation. Appendix B, Review of Eligibility for Alternate Assessment Participation Checklist, is an optional checklist that may be used both during initial determination and at annual IEP reviews to document that the alternate assessment is the appropriate assessment for a student. Appendix C Review of Eligibility for Alternate Assessment Checklist for Students who are Multilingual (ML) Learners with Significant Cognitive Disabilities may be used with students who are ML with significant cognitive disabilities, ages 5-77. The decision regarding how students will participate in state and district-wide testing must be made annually. If an IEP team uses the worksheet or checklist it is recommended that a copy be maintained with the notes from the meeting as documentation required by IDEA Section 614 (d)(1)(A)(VI)(bb) to provide for state monitoring of student files (34 C.F.R.§§ 300.149 and 300.600 through 604.)

#### **Alternate Assessment and Diploma Requirements**

The IEP team should be aware that participation in alternate assessment on alternate academic achievement standards means that that the student is participating in a curriculum that does not lead to a high school diploma. The achievement expectations for the alternate assessment are not the same as the expectations for the general assessment. Students must earn units in the core content as a requirement for a high school diploma. IEP teams should also be aware that if a student without a significant cognitive disability participates in the alternate assessment, the results will not reflect what the student knows and can do. The assessment

results may not be valid and the student may be considered as not participating in assessment for state and federal accountability purposes.

#### **Contact Information**

For information about South Carolina alternate assessment and for assistance with instruction for students who participate in alternate assessments contact:

Roberta E. Turner-Ford Office of Assessment and Standards (803)734-0564 reford@ed.sc.gov

### Appendix A

Initial Determination of Eligibility for Alternate Assessment on Alternate Academic Achievement Standards Participation Worksheet



### Initial Determination of Eligibility for Alternate Assessment Participation Worksheet

Name of Student:			
IEP Category of Disability:			
Enrolled Grade:		Date of Review:	
What assessment did the stude	ent participate in last y	vear?	
☐ General Assessment ☐ Alternate Assessment			
□ New to state (Assessme □ NA (Student is entering	· ·		
			YES (Agree)

Eligibility Criteria	YES (Agree) NO (Disagree)
The student has an Individualized Education Program (IEP).	□YES □NO
The student has a significant cognitive disability (e.g., the student has a severe intellectual disability or multiple disabilities that significantly impact cognitive function <b>and</b> adaptive behavior).	□YES □NO

If the response is <u>NO</u> to either of these statements, the IEP team should not complete this form and instead determine how the student will participate in the general assessment with accommodations, if necessary.

If the response is <u>YES</u> to both of these statements, use the following Evaluation Review of existing psycho-educational assessment results to include Cognitive Ability, Adaptive Behavior Skills, and Achievement Levels prior to <u>initial</u> decision of eligibility for alternate assessment.

#### Initial Determination of Eligibility for Alternate Assessment Participation Worksheet

<b>Individual Cognitive Abilit</b>	y Test:	Date of Asse	essment:
Name of Examiner:		Title of Exa	nminer:
Total Battery Score:	Verbal Scale Score:	Non-Verbal Scale	Score:
Additional Cognitive Test (i	f administered):	Date:	
Total Battery Score:	_Verbal Scale Score:	Non-Verbal Scale	Score:
Adaptive Behavior Skills A	ssessment:	Date of Assessme	nt:
Name of Examiner:		Title of Examiner	:
Parent Scale:			
Total Battery Score:	Highest Area/Doma	ain:	SS*:
Three Lowest Area	Domains:		
	Area/Domain:		SS:
	Area/Domain:		SS:
	Area/Domain:		SS:
Teacher Scale:			
Total Battery Score:	Highest Area/Doma	ain:	SS:
Three Lowest Area	Domains:		
	Area/Domain:		SS:
	Area/Domain:		SS:
	Area/Domain:		SS:

 $<sup>*</sup>SS = standard\ score\ to\ be\ reported\ for\ all\ standardized\ tests.$ 

#### **Individually Administered Achievement Level Scores:**

Test 1:	Date:	te: Examiner:	
Reading Total:	Percentile:	SS*:	GE:
Reading Subtest:			
Reading Subtest:	Percentile:	SS:	GE:
Reading Subtest:	Percentile:	SS:	GE:
Reading Subtest:	Percentile:	SS:	GE:
Math Total:	Percentile:	SS:	GE:
Math Subtest:	Percentile:	SS:	GE:
Math Subtest:	Percentile:	SS:	GE:
Math Subtest:	Percentile:	SS:	GE:
Math Subtest:	Percentile:	SS:	GE:
Written Expression Total:	Percentile:	SS:	GE:
Writing Subtest:	Percentile:	SS:	GE:
Writing Subtest:	Percentile:	SS:	GE:
Writing Subtest:	Percentile:	SS:	GE:
Writing Subtest:	Percentile:	SS:	GE:

 $<sup>*</sup>SS = standard\ score\ to\ be\ reported\ for\ all\ standardized\ tests.$ 

Test 2:	Date:	Examiner:	
<b>Reading Total:</b>	Percentile:	SS*:	GE:
Reading Subtest:	Percentile:	SS:	GE:
Reading Subtest:	Percentile:	SS:	GE:
Reading Subtest:	Percentile:	SS:	GE:
Reading Subtest:	Percentile:	SS:	GE:
Math Total:	Percentile:	SS:	GE:
Math Subtest:	Percentile:	SS:	GE:
Math Subtest:	Percentile:	SS:	GE:
Math Subtest:	Percentile:	SS:	GE:
Math Subtest:	Percentile:	SS:	GE:
Written Expression Total:	Percentile:	SS:	GE:
Writing Subtest:	Percentile:	SS:	GE:
Writing Subtest:	Percentile:	SS:	GE:
Writing Subtest:	Percentile:	SS:	GE:
Writing Subtest:	Percentile:	SS:	GE:
Informal Assessment Results:			
Measure:	Result	s:	
Measure:	Result	s:	
Measure:	Result	s:	
Measure:	Result	<b>c</b> •	

 $<sup>*</sup>SS = standard\ score\ to\ be\ reported\ for\ all\ standardized\ tests.$ 

Individual or Group Achievement Level Scores (all available):					
<b>MAP Reading:</b>					
Date:	RIT Score:	_Percentile:	SS*:	GE:	
MAP Math:					
Date:	RIT Score:	Percentile:	SS:	GE:	
SC Ready ELA:					
Grade:	_Achievement Level:_	Scale Scor	e:]	Lexile Range:	
SC Ready Math:					
Grade:	_Achievement Level:_	Scale Scor	e:		
District Alternate A	ssessment				
Test	SS	Re	sults		
Comments/Summary	7				
Individual Reading					
	SS or Staning				
Comments/Summary	<i>I</i>				
Test	SS or Stanine	eRe	sults		
Comments/Summary	<i>'</i>				
Language Assessme	ents				
	SS	Re	sults —		
	55 /				
·					
	SS				
Comments/Summary	<i></i>				

 $*SS = standard\ score\ to\ be\ reported\ for\ all\ standardized\ tests.$ 

**Revised December 2021** 

#### **Multilingual Learners (ML)Language Proficiency Assessments**

Reading Test:	Scale Score:	Proficiency Level:
Writing Test:	Scale Score:	Proficiency Level:
Listening Test:	Scale Score:	Proficiency Level:
Speaking Test:	Scale Score:	Proficiency Level:
Comprehension:	Scale Score:	Proficiency Level:
Composite:	Scale Score:	Proficiency Level:
Home Language Survey	Results	
Comments/Summary		
Other:		
Specify:		
Results		

**Note:** Students who are classified as Multilingual Learners with cognitive disabilities participate in an English language Proficiency Alternate Assessment beginning in first grade or age six and continue until exited.

## Appendix B

Review of Eligibility for Alternate Assessment on Alternate Acasemic Achievement Standards (AA-AAAS) Participation Checklist



#### Review of Eligibility for Alternate Assessment Participation Check List

Name of Student:		
IEP Category of Disability:		
Enrolled Grade:	Date of Review:	

The student must meet all eligibility criteria descriptors in order to meet the criteria for alternate assessment.

		g 47.11
Eligibility Criteria	Eligibility Criteria Descriptors	Sources of Evidence
The student demonstrates	The student has significant	Results of Individual Cognitive Ability Test
a significant cognitive	limitations in intellectual	
disability and adaptive	functioning as evidenced by	Results of Adaptive Behavior Skills
skills that result in	cognitive ability test scores on both	Assessment
performance that is	verbal and non-verbal scales that	
substantially below grade-	are at least $2\frac{1}{2} - 3$ standard	Results of individual and group administered
level achievement	deviations below the mean.	achievement tests
expectations even with the		
use of accommodations.	AND	Results of informal assessments
O YES	The student has significant deficits	Results of individual reading assessments
	in adaptive behavior as evidenced	
O NO	by adaptive behavior scale scores	Results of district-wide alternate assessments
O NO	that are at least $2\frac{1}{2} - 3$ standard	Descrite of Lancius and a second size leading
	deviations below the mean in at	Results of language assessments including
	least two adaptive skill domains.	Multilingual Learner (ML) language
	AND	assessments, if applicable
	AND	
	The student has academic skills that	
	are on the emerging, readiness	
	(prerequisite), foundational level	
	and/or functional (real life)	
	application of the general	
	curriculum.	
	Carricarani.	

Eligibility Criteria	Eligibility Criteria Descriptors	Sources of Evidence
The student accesses the	The student's curriculum is based on	Examples of curriculum, instructional
state approved academic	extended academic standards in ELA,	
standards at less complex	mathematics, science, and social	samples
levels and with extensively	studies.	
modified instruction.	AND	Present levels of academic and functional
	The student's IEP has short-term	performance, goals and objectives from the IEP
O YES	objectives that focus on the	Progress data from scientific research-based
	extended content standards and/or	interventions
O NO	pre-requisite skills.	mer ventions
	Short term objectives are required	Progress monitoring data
	for IEPs of students who participate	
	in the SC-Alt.	
	AND	
	Curriculum activities differ	
	significantly from those of students who participate in the general	
	education curriculum with	
	accommodations. (e.g., different	
	objectives, materials, and learning	
	activities).	
	AND	
	The content, learning objectives, and expected outcomes for the	
	student are extended to focus on the	
	emerging, readiness (prerequisite),	
	foundational and/or functional (real	
	life) application of the general	
	curriculum.	
The student has current	The student requires explicit and	Results of Adaptive Behavior Skills Assessment
adaptive skills requiring	ongoing instruction in functional	
extensive direct instruction	and daily living skills.	Teacher collected data and checklists
and practice in multiple	ANTO	
settings to accomplish the	AND	Present levels of academic and functional
application and transfer of	The student has substantial deficits	performance, goals, and objectives, and post school outcomes from the IEP and the
skills necessary for application in school,	in adaptive behavior, such that the	Transition Plan for students age 12 and older
work, home, and	student has difficulty demonstrating	Transition Francis students age 12 and older
community environments.	independence in everyday living	
	skills, including interpersonal and	Examples of curriculum, instructional
O YES	social interactions across multiple	objectives, and materials including work
	settings.	samples from both school and community based
O NO		instruction

Additional Considerations	Check each statement if agree
The decision for this student to participate in the alternate assessment is <b>NOT</b> based on a specific categorical label or educational placement.	
The student's inability to achieve state grade-level achievement expectations is <b>NOT</b> the result of excessive or extended absences or social, cultural, or economic differences.	
The decision for this student to participate in the alternate assessment is <b>NOT</b> due to the fact that the student has English as a second language.	
The decision for this student to participate in the alternate assessment is an IEP team decision and is <b>NOT</b> being made for administrative purposes and/or in anticipation of impact on school or district accountability results.	
The decision for this student to participate in the alternate assessment is <b>NOT</b> based solely on the fact that the student's instructional reading level is below the grade level of the general assessment.	
The decision for this student to participate in the alternate assessment is <b>NOT</b> based on the fact that the student is expected to perform poorly on the general assessment.	

If the response is <u>NO</u> for any of the eligibility criteria or considerations, the IEP team should consider the student for participation in the general state assessment with appropriate accommodations, if necessary.

If the response is <u>YES</u> to all criteria and considerations, the IEP team should consider the student for participation in the alternate assessment.

#### **Review Committee**

Name:	Position:	Date:
Name:	Position:	Date:
I understand that participation in a curriculum that will <b>NOT</b> lead to	•	y child is participating in a
Parent(s)/Guardian:		Date:

### Appendix C

Review of Eligibility for Alternate Assessment on Alternate Academic Achievement Standards (AA-AAAS) Participation Checklist for Students Who are Multilingual (ML) Learners with Significant Cognitive Disabilities



#### Review of Eligibility for Alternate ACCESS for ELLs Participation Check List

#### **Guidelines for Kindergarten through Second Grade Students**

NOTE: For students in grades 3–12, use the South Carolina Alternate Assessment criteria for determining eligibility for the Alternate ACCESS.

Name of Student:			
IEP Category of Disability:			
Enrolled Grade:	Date of Review:		

	,	'
Eligibility Criteria	Eligibility Criteria Descriptors	Sources of Evidence
The student is	The student's SC English Language	Results from a Home
classified as ML	Proficiency score is 1-6 or A1, A2,	Language Survey
	A3.	
_Yes		Results from the WIDA
_ No		Screener
The student	The student is exhibiting a significant acquitive	Decults of Individual Cognitive
demonstrates a	The student is exhibiting a significant cognitive	Results of Individual Cognitive
	delay as evidenced by scores on a	Ability Test
significant cognitive	comprehensive norm-referenced or criterion-	Desults of Adentive Pohevier
disability and adaptive skills that result in	referenced developmental evaluation that are at least two standard deviations below the mean	Results of Adaptive Behavior Skills Assessment
performance that is	in cognitive ability and/or communication.	Skins Assessment
substantially below	in cognitive ability and/or communication.	Results of informal
grade-level	AND	assessments
achievement	AND	assessments
expectations even with	The student has significant delays in adaptive	
the use of	behavior as evidenced by adaptive behavior	Results of individual reading
accommodations.	scale scores that are at least $2\frac{1}{2} - 3$ standard	assessments
accommodations.	deviations below the mean in at least two	assessments
_ YES	adaptive skill domains.	Structured classroom
_ 125	adaptive skin domains.	observations
NO	AND	observations
_110	AND	A developmental history
	The student has academic skills that are on the	74 de veropinentai instory
	emerging, readiness (prerequisite),	Results of a developmental
	foundational level and/or functional (real life)	evaluation that assesses all five
	application of the general curriculum.	areas (physical, cognitive,
	approacion of the general curriculant.	communication,
		social/emotional, and adaptive
		behavior development)
		F

Eligibility Criteria	Eligibility Criteria Descriptors	Sources of Evidence
The student accesses the state approved academic standards at less complex levels and with extensively modified instruction	The student's curriculum more closely reflects the Alternate Model Performance Indicators (AMPIs) than typical age or grade appropriate benchmarks.  AND	Examples of curriculum, instructional objectives and materials including work samples  Progress data from significant research-based interventions
_YES _NO	Curriculum activities differ in complexity from those of students who participate in general education curriculum with accommodations (e.g., different objectives, materials, and learning activities).  The student requires extensive direct instruction to accomplish the acquisition, application and transfer of English skills.  AND  The content, learning objectives, and expected outcomes for the student are extended to focus on the emerging, readiness (prerequisite), foundational and/or functional (real life) application of the general curriculum.	Progress data from scientific research-based interventions  Progress monitoring data
The student has current adaptive skills requiring extensive direct instruction and practice in multiple settings to accomplish the application and transfer of skills necessary for application in school, home, and community environments.  _YES _NO	The student requires explicit and ongoing instruction in functional and daily living skills.  AND  The student has substantial deficits in adaptive behavior, such that the student has difficulty demonstrating independence in everyday living skills, including interpersonal and social interactions across multiple settings.	Results of Adaptive Behavior Skills Assessment Teacher collected data and checklists

The decision for this student to participate in Alternate ACCESS for ELLs is  NOT based on a specific categorical label or educational placement.  The student's inability to achieve state grade-level achievement expectations is NOT the result of excessive absences or social, cultural, or economic differences.  The decision for this student to participate in the Alternate ACCESS for ELLs is NOT being made for administrative purposes and/or in anticipation of impact on school or district accountability results.  The decision for this student to participate in the Alternate ACCESS for ELLs is NOT solely due to the fact that the student has English as a a d d i t i o n a l language.	Additional Considerations	Check each statement
NOT based on a specific categorical label or educational placement.  The student's inability to achieve state grade-level achievement expectations is NOT the result of excessive absences or social, cultural, or economic differences.  The decision for this student to participate in the Alternate ACCESS for ELLs is NOT being made for administrative purposes and/or in anticipation of impact on school or district accountability results.  The decision for this student to participate in the Alternate ACCESS for ELLs is NOT solely due to the fact that the student has English as a a d d i t i o n a l		if agree
The student's inability to achieve state grade-level achievement expectations is <b>NOT</b> the result of excessive absences or social, cultural, or economic differences.  The decision for this student to participate in the Alternate ACCESS for ELLs is <b>NOT</b> being made for administrative purposes and/or in anticipation of impact on school or district accountability results.  The decision for this student to participate in the Alternate ACCESS for ELLs is <b>NOT</b> solely due to the fact that the student has English as a a d d i t i o n a l	The decision for this student to participate in Alternate ACCESS for ELLs is	
is NOT the result of excessive absences or social, cultural, or economic differences.  The decision for this student to participate in the Alternate ACCESS for ELLs is NOT being made for administrative purposes and/or in anticipation of impact on school or district accountability results.  The decision for this student to participate in the Alternate ACCESS for ELLs is NOT solely due to the fact that the student has English as a a d d i t i o n a l	<b>NOT</b> based on a specific categorical label or educational placement.	
differences.  The decision for this student to participate in the Alternate ACCESS for ELLs is <b>NOT</b> being made for administrative purposes and/or in anticipation of impact on school or district accountability results.  The decision for this student to participate in the Alternate ACCESS for ELLs is <b>NOT</b> solely due to the fact that the student has English as a a d d i t i o n a l	The student's inability to achieve state grade-level achievement expectations	
The decision for this student to participate in the Alternate ACCESS for ELLs is <b>NOT</b> being made for administrative purposes and/or in anticipation of impact on school or district accountability results.  The decision for this student to participate in the Alternate ACCESS for ELLs is <b>NOT</b> solely due to the fact that the student has English as a a d d i t i o n a l	is <b>NOT</b> the result of excessive absences or social, cultural, or economic	
is <b>NOT</b> being made for administrative purposes and/or in anticipation of impact on school or district accountability results.  The decision for this student to participate in the Alternate ACCESS for ELLs is <b>NOT</b> solely due to the fact that the student has English as a a d d i t i o n a l	differences.	
impact on school or district accountability results.  The decision for this student to participate in the Alternate ACCESS for ELLs is <b>NOT</b> solely due to the fact that the student has English as a a d d i t i o n a l	The decision for this student to participate in the Alternate ACCESS for ELLs	
The decision for this student to participate in the Alternate ACCESS for ELLs is <b>NOT</b> solely due to the fact that the student has English as a a d d i t i o n a l	is <b>NOT</b> being made for administrative purposes and/or in anticipation of	
is <b>NOT</b> solely due to the fact that the student has English as a a d d i t i o n a l	impact on school or district accountability results.	
•	The decision for this student to participate in the Alternate ACCESS for ELLs	
language.	is <b>NOT</b> solely due to the fact that the student has English as a a d d i t i o n a l	
	language.	

If the response is <u>NO</u> for any of the eligibility criteria or considerations, the IEP team should consider the student for participation in the general state assessment with appropriate accommodations, if necessary.

If the response is <u>YES</u> to all criteria and considerations, the IEP team should consider the student for participation in the alternate assessment.

#### **Review Committee**

Name:	Position:	Date:
Name:	Position:	Date:
I understand that participation curriculum that will <b>NOT</b> lead	in alternate assessment means that my	y child is participating in a
Parent(s)/Guardian:		Date:

<sup>\*\*</sup> The IEP team **must** revisit eligibility criteria when the student enters third grade to determine if the Alternate Assessment continues to be an appropriate assessment for the student.

## Appendix D

Description of Alternate Assessments on Alternate Academic Achievement Standards (AA-AAAS) in South Carolina

#### Description of Alternate Assessments on Alternate Academic Achievement Standards (AA-AAAS) in South Carolina

#### **SC-Alt Alternate Assessment**

The SC-Alt Alternate Assessment is an online computer-adaptive assessment that delivers all alternate assessment tests, including Science in grades 4 and 6; ELA and Math in grades 3-8; and High School End of Course tests in Biology, English, Algebra, and U.S. History and the Constitution. The assessment will adapt to the student's ability and allows students to respond in their typical mode of communication, such as pointing and eye gazing to a response, which can be made up of words or picture symbols that represent an answer choice. In the SC-Alt, students use Audio Recordings to hear the question, response option, and any associated stimulus.

The items across subjects in the SC-Alt are linked to the state academic standards through varying complexity levels in the SC-Alt Science, English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and U.S. History and Constitution Alternate Academic Achievement Standards(AAAS). The levels of complexity outlined in the SC-Alt Science, ELA, mathematics, U.S. History and Constitution AAAAS focus on determining the essential main ideas for students eligible for the alternate assessment.

The SC-Alt is scored against the South Carolina Academic Standards, but the expectations of performance are different from those for the general state assessments such as SC READY and the End-of-Course Examination Program (EOCEP).

The SC-Alt only tests a student's achievement in academic subjects. IEP reports and other monitoring and assessment methods provide parents and teachers with information on how the student is progressing in the other areas in which he or she receives instruction.

#### Alternate ACCESS for ELLs

The Alternate Assessing Comprehension and Communication in English State-to-State for English Language Learners (Alternate ACCESS for ELLs) is an assessment of English language proficiency (ELP) for students in grades K–12 who are classified as English language learners (ELLs) and have significant cognitive disabilities that prevent their meaningful participation in the ACCESS for ELLs assessment.

The ACCESS for ELLs is a large-scale test of English language proficiency based on the WIDA English Language Development (ELD) standards. The ACCESS for ELLs is designed to assess all ELLs in grades K-12. The ACCESS for ELLs is designed to assess students' English language proficiency in five areas: Social and Instructional Language, language of English Language Arts, language of Mathematics, language of Science and language of Social Studies. The test is divided into four language domains (speaking, listening, reading, and writing). The IEP team should consider whether the student can take this assessment with accommodations or the Alternate ACCESS for ELLs.

The Alternate ACCESS for ELLs consists of items that are less complex than the ACCESS for ELLs assessment. The Alternate ACCESS for ELLs assesses four English language proficiency areas: Social and Instructional Language, language of English Language Arts, language of Mathematics, and language of Science. Like the ACCESS for ELLs, the Alternate ACCESS for ELLs is divided into four language domains (speaking, listening, reading, and writing).

The Alternate ACCESS for ELLs is based on alternate model performance indicators. The model performance indicators provide the expectations for what the student should be able to do and produce at the English language proficiency level. Therefore, the expectations of performance on the Alternate ACCESS for ELLs are different from the ACCESS for ELLs.

Students with significant cognitive disabilities in kindergarten should take the first grade version of the Alternate ACCESS for ELLs.

Guidance designed to assist IEP teams in collecting and reviewing evidence to determine if participation in the Alternate ACCESS for ELLs is appropriate for an individual student may be found in Appendix C. A person with expertise in second language acquisition must be involved in the IEP decision-making process for students with disabilities who are Multilingual Learners.